arXiv:1612.03861v2 [math.AG] 3 Mar 2017

MINKOWSKI BASES AND TORIC DEGENERATIONS FOR MORI DREAM SPACES VIA TROPICAL COMPACTIFICATIONS

ELISA POSTINGHEL AND STEFANO URBINATI

Abstract. Given a smooth Mori dream space X we construct a model dominating all the small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modifications via tropicalization. This construction allows us to recover a Minkowski basis for the Newton-Okounkov bodies of divisors on X and hence the movable cone of X. The existence of such basis allows us to prove the polyhedrality of the global Newton-Okounkov body and the existence of toric degenerations for Mori dream spaces.

1. Introduction

In this work we make a connection between the theory of Newton-Okounkov bodies and tropical geometry, sharing the aim of the recent preprints [15, 17]. The results of the present parer yield a different point of view on how tropical geometry can be extremely helpful in birational geometry.

Our principal aim is to study Mori dream spaces (MDS) via tropicalization. Via this connection we will describe a simple and computable way of reconstructing the movable cone of such varieties. The results obtained complete the picture introduced in [23, 25], where Minkowski bases for Newton-Okounkov bodies were given for surfaces and toric varieties respectively, with respect to certain flags.

Mori dream spaces are special projective varieties for which the Minimal Model Program is particularly simple, since they only admit a finte number of *small* $\mathbb{Q}$-*factorial modifications* (SQM's). The key property of these spaces is that they always admit a particularly nice embedding into toric varieties, see [10]. Given a MDS X and such an embedding $X \subseteq Z$ into a toric variety, let $T \subseteq Z$ be the maximal torus of the given toric variety. The main result of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Given a smooth MDS X with the embedding of* [10] *in a toric variety Z, then the tropicalization of the variety restricted to T, $\mathrm{Trop}(X|_T)$, induces a new model, $\overline{X}$, that dominates all the SQM's induced by the Minimal Model Program.*

Note that a similar construction is studied in [3].

Via this construction we are able to prove several consequences. First of all we reconstruct the movable cone of X. Even more, the map $\overline{X} \to X$ is given as an embedded map into toric varieties that allows us to recover a Minkowski basis for the Newton-Okounkov bodies on X, (Theorem 4.4).

Secondly, we deduce that, for certain admissible flags, the global Newton-Okounkov body of a MDS is rational polyhedral, (Corollary 4.6). This answers affirmatively a question posed by Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă in [21].

The second author was partially supported by the European Commission, Seventh Framework Programme, Grant Agreement n° 600376.

Moreover, we prove that every MDS admits a flat degeneration to a toric variety, via the work of [1], (Theorem 4.8).

Finally, we reprove that certain moduli spaces are Mori dream spaces, (Corollary 3.7). This approach offers some room for generalization and we plan to come back to this in future work.

The article is organized as follows. In section 2 we recall the notations and basic properties of MDS's and Cox rings. We review the constructions via GIT of the embedding of a MDS into a toric variety and we deduce the connection with tropical geometry. In section 3 we construct the universal model of MDS's via tropical geometry. In section 4, after recalling the constructions of Newton-Okounkov bodies and Minkowski bases, we prove our main result, i.e. we show the existence and how to construct a Minkowski basis for a MDS. and we deduce a series of interesting consequences. Finally, in Section 5, we give some examples of the construction, explicitly computed via the free software *Gfan* [11].

1.1. **Acknowledgments.** We would like to thank Cinzia Casagrande, Alex Küronya, Antonio Laface and Michele Rossi for very helpful comments on a preliminary version of this paper. We would also like to thank David Anderson, Nathan Hilten, Brian Lehman, Diane MacLagan and Joaquin Moranga for useful comments on this work.

2. General setting

In this section we collect several results from [8, 10, 13, 27] providing the main connections between tropicalization and Mori dream spaces.

2.1. **Newton-Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations.** Let X be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension n over an algebraically closed field k and let D be a Cartier divisor on X. Okounkov's construction associates to D a convex body

$$\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

which we call the *Newton-Okounkov body*. This depends on the choice of an *admissible flag* $Y_\bullet$, i.e. a chain

$$Y_0 = X \supset Y_0 \supset \ldots \supset Y_{n-1} \supset Y_n,$$

where Y_i is a subvariety of codimension i in X which is smooth at the point Y_n. Using the flag, one defines a rank n *valuation* $\nu = \nu_{Y_\bullet}$ which, in turn, defines a *graded semigroup* $\Gamma_{Y_\bullet} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^n$. The convex body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$ is the intersection of $\{1\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with the closure of the convex hull of $\Gamma_{Y_\bullet}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

One can also define the notion of *global Newton-Okounkov body* of X which is the closed convex cone in $\mathbb{R}^n \times N^1(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose fibers over any big divisor D on X concides with the Newton-Okounkov body $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$ of such divisor. We refer to [16, 21] for details on this construction.

Newton-Okounkov bodies are quite difficult to compute in general. They often are not polyhedral and, when polyhedral, they may be not rational. Even when the body is polyhedral, the semigroup $\Gamma_{Y_\bullet}$ need not be finitely generated.

For toric varieties they turn out to be nice. In fact R. Lazarsfeld and M. Mustaţă [21] proved that if X is a smooth *toric variety*, D is a T-invariant ample divisor on X and the Y_i's are T-invariant subvarieties, then $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$ is the lattice polytope

associated with D in the usual toric construction. Moreover the global Newton-Okounkov bodies are rational polyhedral.

It is natural to investigate whether this construction behaves well for special classes of varieties. A consequence of the work contained in [4] is that divisors on Mori dream spaces often have toric-like behavior, so it makes sense to ask the following question.

Question 2.1. [21, Question] *Let X be a smooth Mori dream space, does there exist an admissible flag with respect to which the global Newton-Okounkov body is rational polyhedral?*

In [1] Anderson extends the connection between Okounkov bodies and toric varieties. He introduces a geometric criterion for Δ to be a lattice polytope and, in this situation, constructs a *toric degenerations* of X.

Theorem 2.2 ([1, Theorem 5.8])**.** *Fix a complete flag $Y_\bullet$ on X, and let $\nu = \nu_{Y_\bullet}$ be the associated valuation. Let A to be an ample divisor on X and assume the value semigroup associated to A with respect to ν is finitely generated. Then X admits a flat degeneration to a toric variety whose normalization is the toric variety associated to $X(\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(A))$.*

Another natural question is the following.

Question 2.3. *For which varieties is it possible to find a flag such that the value semigroup of an ample divisor is finitely generated? Notice that this is a very strong condition, and it is much stronger than the finite generation of the divisorial ring?*

Notice that the latter is a very strong condition, and it is much stronger than the finite generation of the divisorial ring.

2.2. **Mori dream spaces.** Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective variety of dimension n over the complex numbers. Let r be the rank of $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = \mathrm{N}^1(X)$ and let $\{L_1, \ldots, L_r\}$ be a set of line bundles on X whose numerical classes form a basis of $\mathrm{N}^1(X)$, and whose affine hull contains $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}^1(X)$.

Definition 2.4. For any vector of integers $v = (n_1, \ldots, n_r)$, we set $L^v := L_1^{\otimes n_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_r^{\otimes n_r}$. The Cox ring of X is given by

$$\mathrm{Cox}(X) := \mathrm{R}(X; L_1, \ldots, L_r) = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathbb{N}^r} H^0(X, L^v).$$

Definition 2.5 ([10])**.** Let X be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial projective variety such that $\mathrm{Pic}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = N^1(X)$. Then we say that X is a Mori Dream Space (MDS) if $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.

Notation 2.6. We denote by N the dimension of $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and we set

$$m := N - r,$$

where r as above is the rank $N^1(X)$.

Notation 2.7. We will denote by $\mathrm{Nef}(X)$ and $\mathrm{Mov}(X)$ the cones of *nef* and *movable* divisors of X (see [20] for precise definitions). Here we just recall that nef divisors are the ones intersecting positively all the effective curves and movable divisors are the ones with stable base locus of codimension at least 2.

It is well known that that $\mathrm{Nef}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{Mov}(X)$, when X is a MDS we know much more.

Proposition 2.8 ([10, Proposition 2.11])**.** *Let X be a Mori dream space. Then there is an embedding $X \subseteq Z$ into a quasi-smooth projective toric variety (with torus T) such that:*

(1) the restriction $\mathrm{Pic}(Z)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism,
(2) the previous isomorphism induces an isomorphism $\overline{\mathrm{NE}}^1(Z) \to \overline{\mathrm{NE}}^1(X)$,
(3) every Mori chamber of X is a union of finitely many Mori chambers of Z,
(4) for every rational contraction $\varphi : X \to X_0$ there is toric rational contraction $\varphi_T : Z \to Z_0$, that is regular at the generic point of X, such that $\varphi = \varphi_T|_X$.

Remark 2.9. A toric variety Z is quasi-smooth, i.e. it is a finite abelian quotient of a smooth toric variety, if the fan is given by rational simplicial cones.

Combining the previous proposition and the definition of MDS's, in [10] the authors prove that both the nef cone and the movable cone of a MDS are rational polyhedral. Moreover, the movable cone is reconstructed as a finite union of cones, the so called *Mori chamber decomposition* as follows. Let us denote by $\mathcal{F} := \{f_i : X \dashrightarrow X_i\}$ the set of finitely many SQM's of X, then we have

$$\mathrm{Mov}(X) = \bigcup_{f_i \in \mathcal{F}} f_i^*(\mathrm{Nef(X_i)}).$$

2.3. **GIT and Chow quotients for toric varieties.** The main references for this section are [13, 27].

Let us consider a projective toric variety $W \subseteq \mathbb{P}^N$ with torus T. Let us assume that the T-action on W extends to $\mathbb{P}^N$. Moreover let G be a subtorus of its defining torus T. In [13] the authors construct a quotient of such variety called *Chow quotient* and denote it by $W/\!\!/G$.

Proposition 2.10 ([13, Section 3])**.** *The toric variety $(T/\!\!/G, W/\!\!/G)$ dominates each GIT quotient of W with respect to G and it is the minimal normal variety with such property.*

Notation 2.11. The variety $(T/\!\!/G, W/\!\!/G)$ will appear as $\overline{Z}$ in the rest of the paper.

Let us now consider Y a connected closed subvariety of the algebraic torus T. Let $\overline{Y}$ be a compactification of Y in a toric variety $\mathcal{Z}$ of T.

Definition 2.12 ([27, Definition 1.1])**.** We say that $\overline{Y}$ is a tropical compactification if the multiplication map

$$\Phi : T \times \overline{Y} \to \mathcal{Z}, \quad (t, y) \mapsto ty$$

is faithfully flat and $\overline{Y}$ is proper.

The following notation is commonly used: the pair $(\overline{Y}, \mathcal{Z})$, with $\overline{Y}$ and $\mathcal{Z}$ as in Definition 2.12, is a tropical compactification of $Y \subseteq T$.

Theorem 2.13 ([27, Theorem 1.2])**.** *Any subvariety Y of a torus has a tropical compactification $\overline{Y}$ such that the corresponding toric variety $\mathcal{Z}$ is smooth. In this case the boundary $\overline{Y} \backslash Y$ is divisorial and has* combinatorial normal crossings*: for any collection $B_1, \ldots, B_r \in \overline{Y} \backslash Y$ of irreducible divisors, $\bigcap B_i$ has codimension r.*

Proposition 2.14 ([27, Proposition 2.5]). *Assume that $(Y, \mathcal{Z})$ is a tropical compactification. Then the fan of $\mathcal{Z}$ is supported on the tropicalization of Y,* $\mathrm{Trop}(Y)$.

Remark 2.15. Note that the variety $\overline{Z}$ in Notation 2.11 is projective but might be singular, whereas $\mathcal{Z}$ in Definition 2.12 is never projective, since the associated fan is never complete, but it is always smooth.

The key observation of Tevelev in [27, Section 5] is that the tropical compactification is a refinement of the Chow compactification, i.e. every compactification induced by GIT is dominated by the tropical compactification. Tevelev makes precise that the previous statement might fail if the torus sits trivially or in special position in the variety, but we will see that with a good choice of an auxiliary compactification of the torus, i.e. the one given by [10], the statement is always true.

We are now ready to introduce the key object linking tropical geometry and MDS's.

Notation 2.16. Let X be a normal algebraic variety and W a normal algebraic toric variety with $X \subseteq W$. Let Σ_W be the fan defining the toric variety W. We will consider the following subfan of Σ_W

$$\Sigma_{W,X} := \{\sigma \in \Sigma | \mathrm{V}(\sigma) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$$

This subfan has already been considered in closely related works (cf. [8, 27]). In the next section we will construct a birational model to the toric variety W viewed as the toric variety associated to a subfan of the Chow quotient. The idea was introduced at first by Lafforgue in [19] in the case of Grassmannians.

3. Universal model via tropicalization

Let X be a Mori dream space and let $X \subseteq Z$ be an embedding of a into a toric variety Z as in Proposition 2.8. Via toric ambient modifications it is possible to give a sequence of blow-ups in order to obtain an inclusion $\overline{X} \subseteq \overline{Z}$ such that for every small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial modification of X, $f_i : X \dashrightarrow X_i$, we have the commutative diagram (1) where the Z_i corresponds to the toric GIT quotient for the given SQM. Note that the diagram exists because MDS's admit finitely many SQM's.



Figure 1. Universal commutative diagram

Theorem 3.1. *Let X be a smooth Mori dream space with* $\mathrm{rank}(N^1(X)) = r$ *and let $X \subseteq Z$ be the embedding of Proposition 2.8, where Z is a toric variety with maximal torus T. Set $X_T := X|_T$, the restriction of X to the maximal torus of Z. Then the following holds.*

(1) *There exists a quasi-smooth toric variety* $\overline{Z}$ *and an embedded variety* $\overline{X} \hookrightarrow \overline{Z}$ *resolving all the small* $\mathbb{Q}$*-factorial modifications of* X *induced by the Minimal Model Program.*
(2) *Let* $\Sigma_{\overline{Z}}$ *be the fan associated to* $\overline{Z}$ *and let and* $\Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ *be the subfan of* $\Sigma_{\overline{Z}}$ *whose* T*-invariant orbits intersect* $\overline{X}$*. Then* $\Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ *is supported on* $\mathrm{Trop}(X_T)$*.*

Proof. Since MDS's admit only a finite number of SQM's, it is always possible to construct a variety resolving all such morphisms. It is well known that the Mori chambers of X are refined by the Mori chambers of Z, (cf. Proposition 2.8(3)). This implies that all of the SQM's are induced by a sequence of SQM's at the level of the ambient toric variety. It is then possible to obtain $\overline{Z}$ as a finite sequence of star subdivisions corresponding to the resolution of all the SQM's. This proves (1).

By the previous argument we know that there exists a normal toric variety $\overline{Z}$ that dominates all possible GIT quotients associated to the Cox ring of X. Then $\overline{Z}$ must be the Chow quotient of Proposition 2.10.

Let $\mathbb{T}_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ denote the toric variety associated to $\Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$. We claim that $(\overline{X}, \mathbb{T}_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}})$ is a tropical compactification of $X_T \subseteq T$. Since the inclusion is obviously proper, as it is constructed from an embedding via a sequence of blow-ups, the pair is a tropical compactification if and only if the boundary divisors have combinatorial normal crossings ([27, Proof of Theorem 1.2]). By Proposition 2.8 we know that the intersection has the correct dimension for divisors. For higher codimension it is not true a priori. However it can be achieved via a sequence of star subdivisions of the defining fan of the ambient toric variety, until obtaining the correct codimensions. These star subdivisions yield to the toric variety $\overline{Z}$. This concludes the proof of (2). □

Remark 3.2. Note that we also proved that the tropicalization itself gives the star subdivisions to be added to solve such issue, corresponding to the blow-up of the loci with the wrong dimension of intersection. In particular we confirmed that the Chow quotient is dominated by the tropicalization as stated in [27, Section 5].

Corollary 3.3. *There exists a smooth toric resolution* $\widetilde{Z}$ *of* $\overline{Z}$ *such that* $\overline{X}$ *embeds in* $\widetilde{Z}$ *and such that (1) and (2) of Theorem 3.1 hold.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{T}_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ is smooth, it is possible to choose a smooth refinement of the fan $\Sigma_{\overline{Z}}$ of $\overline{Z}$, $\pi : \Sigma_{\widetilde{Z}} \to \Sigma_{\overline{Z}}$ such that the resulting morphism $\widetilde{X} \to \overline{X}$ is an isomorphism and the induced morphism of fans $\Sigma_{\widetilde{Z},\overline{X}} \to \Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ is the identity. □

Using this construction we can recover the movable cone of X.

Proposition 3.4. *With the above notation, we have that* $h_*\mathrm{Nef}(\overline{X}) = \mathrm{Mov}(X)$*.*

Proof. First of all we have that for every SQM of X, $f_i : X \dashrightarrow X_i$, then $h_i^*\mathrm{Nef}(X_i) \subseteq \mathrm{Nef}(\overline{X})$. In particular, since X is a MDS and $\overline{X}$ dominates all the SMQ's, this implies that $\mathrm{Mov}(X) \subseteq h_*\mathrm{Nef}(\overline{X})$.

For the converse inclusion, recall that the pushforward of the movable cone via any birational morphism is contained in the movable cone of the image, see e.g. [7, Corollary 3.12]. Therefore we have $h_*\mathrm{Nef}(\overline{X}) \subseteq h_*\mathrm{Mov}(\overline{X}) \subseteq \mathrm{Mov}(X)$. □

3.1. **Connection with $\overline{M}_{0,n}$.** Assume that $X = \mathrm{Bl}_{n+2}(\mathbb{P}^n)$ is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^n$ at $n+2$ points in linearly general position, which is is a Mori dream space. In this case $\overline{X}$ is the iterated blow-up of X along all linear cycles spanned by the points in increasing dimension. In Section 5 below, where we will give explicit description of this in the cases $n = 2, 3$. Kapranov [12] proved that this variety is isomorphic to the moduli space $\overline{M}_{0,n+3}$ of stable $(n+3)$-marked rational curves. An open question is to prove whether it is a Mori dream space or not. For $n \leq 3$ this question has an affirmative answer [14]. Moreover the answer in negative for $n \geq 7$, the most recent improvement to this bound result being proved in [18] improving the result of [5].

A natural question related to this construction and the diagram (1) is whether the variety $\overline{X}$ is a Mori dream space as well. Hausen [9] gives a criterion for this, see also Artebani and Laface [2].

Theorem 3.5 ([9])**.** *Let $j : \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \overline{Z}$ be the embedding constructed in Theorem 3.1. If $j^* \mathrm{Cl}(\overline{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Cl}(\overline{X})$, then $\overline{X}$ is a Mori dream space.*

Remark 3.6. If $\overline{Z}$ is smooth, we also have an isomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}(\overline{X}) \cong j^* \mathrm{Cl}(\overline{Z})$. In particular this proved that $\overline{Z}$ is a Mori dream Space by Theorem 3.5.

In particular we reprove the result of [14].

Corollary 3.7. *The moduli space of stable rational curves with n marked points, $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ is a Mori dream space for $n \leq 6$.*

4. Movable cones of Mori Dream Spaces

The idea behind the notion of Newton-Okounkov bodies is that of associating polyhedral objects to line bundles on a given variety. It is then natural to try to understand the correlation between properties of polytopes and their algebraic geometric counterpart.

Let us recall the definition of Minkowski basis from [25].

Definition 4.1. Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension n and $Y_\bullet : X = Y_0 \supseteq Y_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq Y_{n-1} \supseteq Y_n = \{pt\}$ an admissible flag on X. A collection $\{D_1, \ldots, D_r\}$ of pseudo-effective divisors on X is called *Minkowski basis* of X with respect to $Y_\bullet$ if

(1) for any pseudo-effective divisor D on X there exist non-negative numbers $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$ and a translation $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that
$$\phi(\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)) = \sum a_i \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_i),$$
(2) the Newton-Okounkov bodies $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_i)$ are indecomposable, i.e., if $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_i) = P_1 + P_2$ for convex bodies P_1, P_2, then $P_j = k_j \cdot \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D_i)$ for non-negative numbers k_1, k_2 with $k_1 + k_2 = 1$.

The following is the key result linking tropical geometry and Newton-Okounkov bodies.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $Y_\bullet^Z : \overline{Z} = Y_0^Z \supset Y_1^Z \supset \cdots \supset Y_{m-1}^Z \supset Y_m^Z$ an admissible flag of torus-invariant subvariaties of $\overline{Z}$ of dimension $\dim(Y_i^Z) = m - i$, for $i = 0, \ldots, m$. Then the flag on $\overline{Z}$ induces a flag on $\overline{X}$ via truncation to the first n elements,*

restriction of such element to $\overline{X}$ *and the choice of an irreducible element of the intersection:*

$$Y_\bullet : \overline{X} = Y_0 \supset Y_1 \supset \cdots \supset Y_n, \quad \text{where } Y_i \subseteq Y^Z_{m-n+i}|_{\overline{X}}$$

of dimension $\dim(Y_i) = n - i$*, for* $i = 0, \dots, n$*, is an admissible flag on* $\overline{X}$*.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, $\overline{X} \subseteq \mathbb{T}_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ is a tropical compactification, hence the intersection with T-invariant divisors is combinatorially normal crossings, i.e. the intersection with the torus-invariant flag elements has always the correct codimension. If the flag obtained in this way is not given by irreducible elements, we can derive an admissible one by choosing irreducible components or the restrictions $Y^Z_{m-n+i}|_{\overline{X}}$. This proves the statement. □

Remark 4.3. Notice that in the case $\overline{Z}$ is not smooth, an admissible flag might not exist. In this case, let us consider the resolution $\widetilde{Z} \to \overline{Z}$ constructed in Corollary 3.3. Since we have the identity $\Sigma_{\widetilde{Z},\overline{X}} \to \Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$, it is always possible, modulo reordering the terms, to obtain the desired flag.

Theorem 4.4. *In the notation of Section 3, set* $j : \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \overline{Z}$*. If* $\{D^Z_i\}_{i\in I}$ *is a set of generators of the nef cone of* $\overline{Z}$*. Then*

$$\mathcal{D} := \{D_i := h_* j^* D^Z_i\}_{i\in I}$$

is a Minkowski basis for X *with respect to the flags constructed in Lemma 4.2.*

Proof. Note that the inclusion $j : \overline{X} \hookrightarrow \overline{Z}$ allows us to reconstruct the Nef cone of $\overline{X}$, in fact [8, Proposition 5.1] proves that $j^*\mathrm{Mov}(\overline{Z}) = \mathrm{Nef}(\overline{X})$. Then the movable cone on X can be reconstructed via pushforward and Proposition 3.4.

We claim that any valuation vector induced by the flag constructed in Lemma 4.2 is obtained by the truncation of a valuation vector of the ambient toric variety. In order to prove the claim, let us denote by D^Z a divisor on $\overline{Z}$ and by D its restriction to $\overline{X}$. Let π be the projection map $\pi : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

$$\pi(\Delta_{Y^Z_\bullet}(D^Z)) = \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D).$$

Since the valuation vectors inducing $\Delta_{Y^Z_\bullet}(D^Z)$ are given by T-invariant sections, it is clear that $\pi(\Delta_{Y^Z_\bullet}(D^Z)) \subseteq \Delta_{Y_\bullet}(D)$.

In the general case it is quite difficult to prove the reverse inclusion, sometimes it might even be false. In this case, since X is MDS, the movable cone is covered by pullback's of nef cones of small modifications. For every movable divisor D on X, there exist a small modification $f_i : X \dashrightarrow X_i$ such that $D_i := f_* D$ is nef. We can then prove the reverse inclusion via the diagram (1) using the following property and the fact that every nef divisor is a limit of ample divisors.

For any ample divisor A on $\overline{Z}$ we have that

$$\mathrm{vol}_{\overline{Z}|_{\overline{X}}}(A) = \mathrm{vol}_{\overline{X}}(A|_{\overline{X}}) = A^n \cdot \overline{X},$$

i.e. the restricted volume coincides with the volume of the induced linear series via restriction, see [6] for precise definitions and properties.

Now, every movable divisor is a limit of ample divisors in some given small birational model. Hence we can conclude that the two Newton-Okounkov bodies coincide.

Finally, additivity of bodies is simply induced by additivity for toric varieties ([25]).

□

Remark 4.5. Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.4 give a direct connection between the Minkowski basis for the MDS X and the toric variety where it embeds to. The explicit description also allows us to study restrictions of sections from the toric variety to the MDS.

4.1. **Application 1.** As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.4 we can then state the following result, that answers positively the Question 2.1

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a Mori dream space. The global Newton-Okounkov body if X with respect to an admissible flag obtained as in Theorem 4.4 is a rational polyhedral polytope in $\mathbb{R}^{n+r}$, where $r = \operatorname{rank}(N^1(X))$.*

Remark 4.7. Work has been done recently that led to partial answers to the question posed by Lazarsfeld and Mustaţă. In [24] the author gives an answer to the question for surfaces and, in higher dimension, for Mori dream spaces with certain particular flags provided that such flags exist. In [26] the authors give a criterion for the polyhedrality of the global Newton-Okounkov body depending on the existence of a Minkowski basis and they proved polyhedrality for certain homogeneous threefolds.

4.2. **Application 2.** Via our construction we are able to extend Theorem 2.2 to the case of arbitrary ample divisors on smooth Mori dream spaces.

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a smooth Mori dream space and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the Minkowski basis for X constructed in Theorem 4.4. For any ample divisor A on X, let $\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(A)$ the Newton-Okounkov body constructed as a Minkowski sum of elements of $\mathcal{D}$. Then X admits a flat degeneration to the toric variety $X(\Delta_{Y_\bullet}(A))$.*

Proof. The main condition for the existence of the flat degeneration is the finite generation of the value semigroup. This condition yields that the extremal points of the Newton-Okounkov body shall correspond to the valuation of some section (i.e. the point is not a limit but an actual valuation vector).

By the construction, the restriction of torus-invariant sections to $\overline{X}$ will give the exact valuation vector. Even more, the inclusion map will ensure that the section corresponds to a section of the chosen ample line bundle. □

5. Examples

5.1. **Example 1.** Let $X = \mathrm{Bl}_4(\mathbb{P}^2)$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2$ in 4 points in linearly general position. This is isomorphic to $\overline{M}_{0,5}$, cfr. 3.1.Let H denote the pull-back of the class of a line of $\mathbb{P}^2$ and let $E_1, \dots, E_4$ denote the classes of the exceptional divisors. We have

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) = \langle H, E_1, \dots, E_4 \rangle.$$

After a change of basis, we can take $\{H - E_3 - E_4, E_1, \dots, E_4\}$ to be a set of generators of the Picard group. Moreover $\mathrm{Cox}(X)$ is generated by the classes

$$\{E_{ij} := H - E_i - E_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq 4\} \cup \{E_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}.$$

We now rewrite the generators of Cox in the new basis of $\mathrm{Pic}(X)$:

$$\begin{aligned} H - E_i - E_j &= (H - E_3 - E_4) - E_i - E_j + E_3 + E_4, \ \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq 4 \\ E_i &= E_i, \ \forall 1 \leq i \leq 4. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, we can describe the map

$$\deg : \mathrm{Cox}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$$

with the following 5×10 matrix

$$\begin{array}{c} \\ E_{34} \\ E_1 \\ E_2 \\ E_3 \\ E_4 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{cccccccccc} E_{12} & E_{13} & E_{14} & E_{23} & E_{24} & E_{34} & E_1 & E_2 & E_3 & E_4 \end{array} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}.$$

Let A be the 5×5 matrix such that the above matrix is the concatenated matrix

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I_5 \end{array} \right).$$

The matrix representing

$$\ker(\deg) \to \mathrm{Cox}(X)$$

is defined by the Gale transform

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_5 \\ \hline -A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rows of the above matrix define the fan $\mathbb{Z}^5$ of a blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^5$. Precisely, the first six rays are the rays of the fan of $\mathbb{P}^5$ in the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^5$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_5, x_0$ be corresponding homogeneous coordinates. The four last rays define exceptional divisors of the blow-up of T-invariant 2-planes of $\mathbb{P}^5$, with $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^5$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 &= \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_2 &= \{x_1 = x_4 = x_5 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_3 &= \{x_0 = x_2 = x_4 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_4 &= \{x_0 = x_3 = x_5 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\{\Pi_i \cap \Pi_j : i \neq j\}$ is the set of the six coordinate points of $\mathbb{P}^5$.

The map $i : X \to Z$ is the embedding described in Proposition 2.8.

Remark 5.1. The embedding might not be unique since it depends on the linearization by an ample line bundle. However the tropical compactification will be the same. Levitt in [22] computed a set of equations for such an embedding that do not respect intersection conditions and cannot be the image of the embedding constructed by [10].

Let P be the $2-$plane of $\mathbb{P}^5$ described by the following equations:

$$x_2 + x_4 + x_0 = 0, \quad x_1 + x_4 + x_5 = 0, \quad x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 = 0,$$

with the following intersections

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 :=& P \cap \Pi_1 = [0,0,0,1,-1,-1], \\ p_2 :=& P \cap \Pi_2 = [0,1,-1,0,0,-1], \\ p_3 :=& P \cap \Pi_3 = [-1,0,-1,0,1,0], \\ p_4 :=& P \cap \Pi_4 = [-1,-1,0,1,0,0]. \end{aligned}$$

The image of X inside Z is the blow-up of P at p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4.

Using *Gfan*, we computed the tripicalization of $P \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ obtaining the following description.

```
Q[x1,x2,x3,x4,x5]
{x2+x4+1,
x1+x4+x5,
x2+x3+x4+x5
}
LP algorithm being used: "cddgmp".
_application fan
_version 2.2
_type SymmetricFan

AMBIENT_DIM
5

DIM
2

LINEALITY_DIM
0

RAYS
-1 -1 -1  0  0  # 0
-1  0  0 -1 -1  # 1
-1  0  0  0  0  # 2
 0 -1  0  0  0  # 3
 0  0 -1  0  0  # 4
 0  0  0 -1  0  # 5
 0  0  0  0 -1  # 6
 1  0  1  0  1  # 7
 1  1  0  1  0  # 8
 1  1  1  1  1  # 9

N_RAYS
10
```

```
F_VECTOR
1 10 15

SIMPLICIAL
1

PURE
1

CONES

 Dimension 0
{}

 Dimension 1
{0}{1}{2}{3}{4}{5}{6}{7}{8}{9}

 Dimension 2
{0 2}{1 2}{0 3}{0 4}{1 5}{1 6}{3 6}{4 5}{2 9}{3 7}{5 7}{4 8}
{6 8}{7 9}{8 9}
```

The 1− and 2−dimensional cones of the fan correspond to the orbits in Z that intersect X. For instance, let $\mathcal{E}_i$ denote the exceptional divisor of Π_i in Z and let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the hyperplane class in Z. The cone $\{0,2\}$ corresponds to $\mathcal{E}_1.(\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{E}_1-\mathcal{E}_2)$, the class of a hyperplane on the exceptional divisor $\mathcal{E}_1$ of Π_1 in Z. Whilst, for example, let us consider the cone $\{0,1\}$ that corresponds to the intersection $\mathcal{E}_1.\mathcal{E}_2$, that is the strict transform in Z of the point of $\mathbb{P}^5$ of coordinates $[0,0,0,0,0,1]$. This orbit does not intersect X and it does not appear in the tropicalization.

Note that the rays exactly coincide with the ones appearing in the fan of Z. This is explained by the fact that a surface does not admit flips and hence we have a unique chamber of the Movable cone given by the Nef cone for X.

Remark 5.2. Note that the combinatorial normal crossing property with the boundary allows us to induce a flag from the ambient toric variety to the MDS.

Let us consider, for example, the maximal cone in Σ_Z generated by $\{\mathcal{E}_{13},\mathcal{E}_{14},\mathcal{E}_{23},\mathcal{E}_{24},\mathcal{E}_3\}$. This gives a T-invariant flag by complete intersection. The tropicalization selects all the subcones that induce a flag on X. In this case the ones that one could choose are $\{\mathcal{E}_{13},\mathcal{E}_{24}\}$, $\{\mathcal{E}_{14},\mathcal{E}_{23}\}$, $\{\mathcal{E}_{13},\mathcal{E}_3\}$ and $\{\mathcal{E}_{23},\mathcal{E}_3\}$, where we simplify notation by setting $\mathcal{E}_{ij}:=\mathcal{H}-\mathcal{E}_i-\mathcal{E}_j$.

It is then a simple computation to show that the Minkowski basis is obtained by projection to the corresponding selected components.

5.2. **Example 2.** Let now $X:=\mathrm{Bl}_5(\mathbb{P}^3)$ be the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^3$ in 5 points in linearly general position. Let us denote by H the hyperplane class and by $E_1,\dots,E_5$ the exceptional divisors. The following divisors form a basis for the Picard group of X:

$$H-E_3-E_4-E_5,E_1,\dots,E_5.$$

Generators of the Cox ring of X are:

$$\{H-E_{i_1}-E_{i_2}-E_{i_3}:1\le i_1<i_2<i_3\le 5\}\cup\{E_i:1\le i\le 5\}.$$

As in the previous example, the maps $\deg : \mathrm{Cox}(X) \to \mathrm{Pic}(X)$ and $\ker(\deg) \to \mathrm{Cox}(X)$ are defined, respectively, by the following fundamental matrices:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & I_6 \end{array}\right) := \left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc|cccccc} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right),$$

and

$$\left(\frac{I_9}{-A}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The rows of the above matrix define a simplicial fan. The associated quasi-smooth projective variety is the variety Z that appears in Proposition 2.8, that we can describe as follows. The first 9 rows give rise to the fan of $\mathbb{P}^9$, while the five last rows represent the exceptional divisors of the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^9$ along the torus invariant $3-$planes defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1 &= \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = x_5 = x_6 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_2 &= \{x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_7 = x_8 = x_9 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_3 &= \{x_0 = x_1 = x_4 = x_5 = x_7 = x_8 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_4 &= \{x_0 = x_2 = x_4 = x_6 = x_7 = x_9 = 0\}, \\ \Pi_5 &= \{x_0 = x_3 = x_5 = x_6 = x_8 = x_9 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Z is the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^9$ along $\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_5$.

We now describe the embedding $X \subset Z$ of Proposition 2.8. Consider the $3-$plane P of $\mathbb{P}^9$, that intersects each Π_i in a point, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0, \quad x_1 - x_7 + x_8 = 0, \quad x_6 - x_9 + x_0 = 0 \\ &x_5 - x_8 + x_0 = 0, \quad x_2 - x_7 + x_9 = 0, \quad x_1 - x_4 + x_5 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The intersection points are given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 :=& P \cap \Pi_1 = [0,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,1,1], \\ p_2 :=& P \cap \Pi_2 = [0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,0,-1], \\ p_3 :=& P \cap \Pi_3 = [0,1,1,0,0,-1,0,0,-1,0], \\ p_4 :=& P \cap \Pi_4 = [1,0,-1,0,-1,0,0,-1,0,0], \\ p_5 :=& P \cap \Pi_5 = [1,1,0,1,0,0,1,0,0,0]. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $P \cap \Pi_i \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ is a point, say p_i. The image of X in Z is the blow-up of P in $p_1, \ldots, p_5$.

Remark 5.3. Obviously the choice of a subspace P satisfying the same properties is not unique. For instance the following set of equations describes another such a $3-$plane.

$$\begin{gathered} x_1 + x_7 + x_8 = 0, \quad x_6 + x_9 + x_0 = 0, \quad x_5 + x_8 + x_0 = 0, \\ x_2 + x_7 + x_9 = 0, \quad x_4 + x_5 + x_7 + x_8 = 0, \quad x_3 + x_6 + x_8 + x_0 = 0. \end{gathered}$$

Using *Gfan*, we computed the tripicalization of $P \subset \mathbb{P}^9$ obtaining for both sets of equations the following description.

```
Q[x1,x2,x3,x4,x5,x6,x7,x8,x9]
{x1+x7+x8,
x6+x9+1,
x5+x8+1,
x2+x7+x9,
x4+x5+x7+x8,
x3+x6+x8+1
}
LP algorithm being used: "cddgmp".
_application fan
_version 2.2
_type SymmetricFan

AMBIENT_DIM
9

DIM
3

LINEALITY_DIM
0

RAYS
-1 -1 -1 -1 -1 -1 0 0 0     # 0 (*)
-1 -1 -1 0 0 0 -1 -1 -1     # 1 (*)
-1 -1 -1 0 0 0 0 0 0     # 2
-1 0 0 -1 -1 0 0 0 0     # 3
```

```
−1  0  0  0  0  0 −1 −1  0     # 4
−1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0     # 5  (∗)
 0 −1  0 −1  0 −1  0  0  0     # 6
 0 −1  0  0  0  0 −1  0 −1     # 7
 0 −1  0  0  0  0  0  0  0     # 8  (∗)
 0  0 −1  0 −1 −1  0  0  0     # 9
 0  0 −1  0  0  0  0 −1 −1     # 10
 0  0 −1  0  0  0  0  0  0     # 11  (∗)
 0  0  0 −1  0  0  0  0  0     # 12  (∗)
 0  0  0  0 −1  0  0  0  0     # 13  (∗)
 0  0  0  0  0 −1  0  0  0     # 14  (∗)
 0  0  0  0  0  0 −1  0  0     # 15  (∗)
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0 −1  0     # 16  (∗)
 0  0  0  0  0  0  0  0 −1     # 17  (∗)
 0  1  1  0  0  1  0  0  1     # 18  (∗)
 1  0  1  0  1  0  0  1  0     # 19  (∗)
 1  1  0  1  0  0  1  0  0     # 20  (∗)
 1  1  1  0  1  1  0  1  1     # 21
 1  1  1  1  0  1  1  0  1     # 22
 1  1  1  1  1  0  1  1  0     # 23
 1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1  1     # 24  (∗)

N_RAYS
25

F_VECTOR
1  25  105  105

SIMPLICIAL
1

PURE
1
```

The rays marked with $(*)$ are the rows of the matrix defining Z (modulo a sign change). There are 10 more rays, that we are now going to interpret.

Notice first that the span of each pair of 3−planes $\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j \rangle$ is a 6−plane in $\mathbb{P}^9$. Moreover the 3−plane P intersects $\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j \rangle$ in the line $\langle p_i, p_j \rangle$. There are exactly 10 of these 6−planes in $\mathbb{P}^9$ and, consequently, 10 of these lines in P.

Each of the remaining 10 rays above is the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of a (strict transform in Z of the) 6-plane $\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j \rangle$. For instance the ray #2 (which is the sum of the rays #5, #8, #11) gives the exceptional divisors of the blow-up of the 6−plane of $\mathbb{P}^9$ of equations $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$, namely the one spanned by Π_1 and Π_2.

Now, in the notation of Section 3, the toric variety $\overline{Z}$ is the blow-up of Z along all these 10 6−planes $\langle \Pi_i, \Pi_j \rangle$. Moreover $\overline{X} \subset \overline{Z}$ is the blow-up of $X \subset Z$ along the

10 corresponding lines $\langle p_i, p_j\rangle$. Therefore $\overline{X} \cong \overline{M}_{0,7}$, cfr. Section 3.1. The blow-up $\overline{X}$ resolves all the flips of $(-1)-$curves (given by the strict transforms of the lines $\langle p_i, p_j\rangle$ in X).

The subfan $\Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ is supported on the tropicalization of P and it is described in the rest of the *Gfan* scrip below. Notice that all rays and $2-$dimensional cones of $\Sigma_{\overline{Z}}$ appear in $\Sigma_{\overline{Z},\overline{X}}$ because $\overline{X}$ intersects all T-invariant subvarieties of coidimension 1 and 2, just by a simple dimension count.

```
CONES

 Dimension 0
{}

 Dimension 1
{0}{1}{2}{3}{4}{5}{6}{7}{8}{9}{10}{11}{12}{13}
{14}{15}{16}{17}{18}{19}{20}{21}{22}{23} {24}

 Dimension 2
{0 2}{1 2}{0 3}{0 5}{1 4}{1 5}{2 5}{3 5}{4 5}
{0 6}{0 8}{1 7}{1 8}{2 8}{0 9}{0 11}{1 10}{1 11}{2 11}
{0 12}{0 13}{0 14}{1 15}{1 16}{1 17}{3 12}{3 13}{3 17}
{4 14}{5 14}{4 15}{4 16}{5 17}{3 18}{4 18}{5 18}{6 8}{7 8}
{6 12}{6 14}{6 16}{7 13}{8 13}{7 15}{7 17}{8 16}{9 11}
{10 11}{10 12}{11 12}{9 13}{9 14}{9 15}{11 15}{10 16}
{10 17}{12 16}{12 17}{13 15}{13 17}{14 15}{14 16}{2 24}
{12 18}{13 18}{15 18}{16 18}{5 23}{5 24}{6 19}{7 19}{8 19}
{12 19}{14 19}{15 19}{17 19}{8 22}{8 24}{9 20}{10 20}{11 20}
{11 21}{13 20}{14 20}{16 20}{17 20}{11 24}{12 21}{15 21}
{13 22}{16 22}{14 23}{17 23}{18 21}{18 22}{18 24}{19 21}
{19 23}{19 24}{20 22}{20 23}{20 24}{21 24}{22 24}{23 24}


MAXIMAL_CONES  Dimension 3
{0 2 5} {1 2 5}{0 3 5}{1 4 5}
{0 2 8}{1 2 8}{0 2 11}{1 2 11}{0 3 12}
{0 3 13}{0 5 14}{1 4 15}{1 4 16}{1 5 17}{3 5 17}
{4 5 14}{3 5 18}{4 5 18}{0 6 8}{1 7 8}{0 6 12}
{0 6 14}{0 8 13}{1 7 15}{1 7 17}{1 8 16}{0 9 11}
{1 10 11}{0 11 12}{0 9 13}{0 9 14}{1 11 15}
{1 10 16}{1 10 17}{3 12 17}{3 13 17}{4 14 15}
{4 14 16}{2 5 24}{3 12 18}{3 13 18}{4 15 18}{4 16 18}
{6 8 16}{7 8 13}{6 12 16}{6 14 16}{7 13 15}{7 13 17}
{2 8 24}{10 11 12}{9 11 15}{10 12 16}{10 12 17}
{9 13 15}{9 14 15}{2 11 24}{12 16 18}{13 15 18}
{5 14 23}{5 17 23}{5 18 24}{6 8 19}{7 8 19}{6 12 19}
```

{6 14 19}{7 15 19}{7 17 19}{12 17 19}{14 15 19}
{8 13 22}{8 16 22}{9 11 20}{10 11 20}{9 13 20}
{9 14 20}{10 16 20}{10 17 20}{11 12 21}{11 15 21}
{13 17 20}{14 16 20}{12 18 21}{15 18 21}{13 18 22}
{16 18 22}{5 23 24}{8 19 24}{12 19 21}{15 19 21}
{14 19 23} {17 19 23} {8 22 24} {11 20 24} {11 21 24}
{13 20 22} {16 20 22} {14 20 23} {17 20 23} {18 21 24}
{18 22 24} {19 21 24} {19 23 24} {20 22 24} {20 23 24}

References

[1] D. Anderson, *Okounkov bodies and toric degenerations*. Math. Ann. (2013), vol. 356, 1183–1202.

[2] M. Artebani and A. Laface, *Hypersurfaces in Mori dream spaces*. Journal of Algebra 371 (2012): 26-37.

[3] H. Bäker, J. Hausen, S. Keicher, *On Chow quotients of torus actions*. Michigan Math. J. 64 (2015), no. 3, 451–473.

[4] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. D. Hacon, and J. McKernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 23 (2010), no. 2, 405–468.

[5] A:M. Castravet and J: Tevelev, $\overline{M}_{0,n}$ *is not a Mori dream space*, Duke Math. J. 164 (2015), no. 8, 16411667

[6] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, M. Mustaţă, M. Nakamaye and M. Popa, *Restricted volumes and base loci of linear series*, Amer. J. Math., vol.131 (2009), no. 3, 607–651.

[7] M. Fulger and B. Lehmann, *Zariski decompositions of numerical cycle classes*, ArXiv e-prints-1310.0538, (2013).

[8] A. Gibney and D. Maclagan, *Lower and upper bounds for nef cones*, International Mathematics Research Notices. IMRN (2012), n. 14, 3224–3255.

[9] J. Hausen, *Cox rings and combinatorics II*. Mosc. Math. J 8.4 (2008): 711-757.

[10] Y. Hu and S. Keel, *Mori dream spaces and GIT*, Michigan Mathematical Journal, vol. 48 (2000), 331–348.

[11] A. N. Jensen, *Gfan, a software system for Gröbner fans and tropical varieties*, Available at http://home.imf.au.dk/jensen/software/gfan/gfan.html

[12] M. Kapranov, *V*eronese curves and Grothendieck-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{M_{0,n}}$, J. Algebraic Geom. 2 (1993), no. 2, pp. 239-262.

[13] M. M. Kapranov, B. Sturmfels A. V. and Zelevinsky, *Quotients of toric varieties*, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 290 (1991), n. 4, 643–655.

[14] A.M. Castravet, *The Cox ring of* $\overline{M}_{0,6}$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), no. 7, 3851-3878

[15] E. Katz, S. Urbinati, *Newton-Okounkov Bodies over Discrete Valuation Rings and Linear Systems on Graphs*, arXiv:1609.06036, 2016.

[16] K. Kaveh, A. Khovanskii, *Newton?Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory*, Annals of Mathematics, 176 (2): 925?978, 2012

[17] K. Kaveh, C. Manon, *Khovanskii bases, Newton-Okounkov bodies and tropical geometry of projective varieties*, arXiv:1610.00298, (2016).

[18] J. Hausen, S. Keicher, A. Laface *on blowing-up the weighted projective plane*, arXiv:1608.04542, (2016).

[19] L. Lafforgue, *Chirurgie des grassmanniennes*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 19 (2003).

[20] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry, I*, Springer (2004).

[21] Lazarsfeld, R., Mustaţă, M., *Convex bodies associated to linear series*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) 42 (2009), no. 5, 783-835.

[22] J. Levitt, *On embeddings of Mori Dream Spaces*, Geom Dedicata 170, 281–288 (2014)

[23] Łuszcz-Świdecka, P., Schmitz, D., *Minkowski decomposition of Okounkov bodies on surfaces*, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 414, (2014), Pages 159174.

[24] S. Okawa, *On global Okounkov bodies of Mori dream spaces*. Preprint, 2010

[25] P. Pokora, D. Schmitz and S. Urbinati, *Minkowski decomposition and generators of the moving cone for toric varieties*, The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, vol. 66 (2015), no. 3, 925–939.
[26] D. Schmitz and H. Seppänen, *On the polyhedrality of global Okounkov bodies*. Advances in Geometry 16.1 (2016): 83-91.
[27] J. Tevelev, *Compactifications of subvarieties of tori*, American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 129 (2007), n. 4, 1087–1104.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough University, Leicestershire LE11 3TU, United Kingdom
E-mail address: e.postinghel@lboro.ac.uk

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, via Bonardi 9, Milano, Italy
E-mail address: urbinati.st@gmail.com